

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,767	09/09/2003	James C. Smith	P00195US2	4861
7590 06/23/2005			EXAMINER	
The Law Offices of James C. Weseman			LUDLOW, JAN M	
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
401 West A Street San Dieg, CA 92101				THE EX NOMBER
Sali Dieg, CA	,		1743	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/659,767	SMITH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/a</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Address						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,767 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fechtner.

Fetcher teaches a reagent vial having containers 16, 18, 20 with inlets 60 aligned with substantially hemispherical portions 80, 82, 84 aligned with each inlet and having smaller cross-section than the rest of the container.

4. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fetcher as applied to claims 1, 2, 4 above, and further in view of Ushikubo.

Fetcher fails to teach a substantially rectangular cross-section or label.

Art Unit: 1743

Ushikubo teaches reagent containers for an automatic analyzer. The reagent containers are substantially rectangular and have identifying labels 28 (Figures 5A and 6A) in order to automatically identify reagents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the vessels of Fetcher substantially rectangular as taught by Ushikubo in order to maximize the volume of reagent per rotor sector and to provide labels in order to permit automated reagent identification as taught by Ushikubo.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baxter.

Baxter teaches a vial 1 with inlet 5 aligned with smaller diameter hemispherical lower portion 3. The vial fits in an adapter 6 with horizontal locater 10 and vertical locater 12 (col. 3, lines 1-5, Figures 3, 5, 7-8).

6. Claims 3, 5, 7, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baxter as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-11, 13 above, and further in view of Ushikubo.

Baxter fails to teach a substantially rectangular cross-section or label.

Ushikubo teaches reagent containers for an automatic analyzer. The reagent containers are substantially rectangular and have identifying labels 28 (Figures 5A and 6A) in order to automatically identify reagents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the vessels of Baxter substantially rectangular as taught

Application/Control Number: 10/659,767

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

by Ushikubo in order to maximize the volume of reagent per rotor sector and to provide labels in order to permit automated reagent identification as taught by Ushikubo.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml 6/18/200*9*